

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,107	7 11/12/2003		Michael R. DeLuca	1147-02	6508
35811	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
-		PIPER RUDNIC	JIANG, CHEN WEN		
1650 MARKI SUITE 4900	EISI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				3744	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

pplication No.	Applicant(s)
0/706,107	DELUCA, MICHAEL R.
Varninar	A et I Init

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/706,107	DELUCA, MICHAEL R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chen-Wen Jiang	3744		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	-				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		L. 4.A. 1	(DTOL 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timaly filed emends	ant conceling			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	anowabie ii submitted iii a separate	, timely med amendin	tent canceling			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-10 and 13-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		*				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will a	not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered b Levine et al. discloses a rotatable thermostat controller	and Truong et al. disclose a linear	ly thermostat controll				
the rotatable thermostat controller of Uptegraph can be 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)						
13. Other:	. (1 10100100 011 10-1440) rapel	140(3).				
		CHEN WENT	SIANG(SMINER			